1 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES - FLOOR VERSION 2 STATE OF OKLAHOMA 3 2nd Session of the 58th Legislature (2022) COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE 4 FOR 5 HOUSE BILL NO. 4078 By: Wallace 6 7 8 COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE 9 An Act relating to courts; defining terms; creating the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation; 10 providing purpose of Office; creating Administrator position; prescribing duties of Administrator; prescribing duties and powers of Office; prescribing 11 criteria for judicial performance evaluations; requiring initial evaluation; requiring interim 12 evaluation; allowing response from Justice or judge; 1.3 requiring election-year evaluation; allowing response from Justice or judge; authorizing improvement plans; 14 prescribing process; providing for conflicts of interest; providing recusal process for certain 15 persons; requiring information be kept confidential; exempting certain information from confidentiality; 16 prescribing Council on Judicial Complaints duties and powers; providing for codification; and providing an 17 effective date. 18 19 20 BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA: 2.1 SECTION 1. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified 22 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1671 of Title 20, unless there 23 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows: 2.4 As used in this act:

- 1. "Attorney" means a person admitted to practice law before the courts of this state:
- 2. "Election-year evaluation" means a judicial performance evaluation conducted by the Office of Judicial Performance

 Evaluation pursuant to Section 6 of this act of a Justice or judge whose term is to expire and who must stand for reelection or retention election;
- 3. "Improvement plan" means an individual judicial improvement plan developed and implemented pursuant to Section 7 of this act;
- 4. "Interim evaluation" means an interim evaluation conducted by the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation pursuant to Section 5 of this act during a full term of office of a Justice or judge;
- 5. "Judge" includes all active district judges, associate district judges, special judges, Judges of the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals, and Judges of the Oklahoma Court of Civil Appeals; and
 - 6. "Justice" means a Justice of the Oklahoma Supreme Court.
- SECTION 2. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1672 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- A. There is hereby created within the Council on Judicial Complaints the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation. The purpose of the Office shall be to:

1.3

1. Provide Justices and judges with useful information concerning their own performances;

1.3

- 2. Provide persons voting on the retention of Justices and judges with fair, responsible, and constructive information about individual judicial performance; and
- 3. Conduct statewide judicial performance evaluations using uniform criteria and procedures pursuant to the provisions of this act.
- B. There is created the position of Administrator to the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation who shall be a state employee hired by the Council on Judicial Complaints. The Administrator, operations, and staffing of the Office shall be overseen by the Administrative Director to the Council on Judicial Complaints.
- C. The Administrator shall notify the members of the Council of the number of completed performance evaluations ready for review and consideration by the Council five (5) days before the Council's regular meeting. The Administrator shall attend meetings of the Council concerning performance evaluations and business of the Office, keep records concerning performance evaluations, prepare reports required by statute, and perform other tasks as the Council shall direct.
- SECTION 3. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1673 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

- A. The Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall:
- 1. Train members of the Council on Judicial Complaints as needed and requested to fulfil the duties established pursuant to Section 10 of this act;
- 2. Collect and disseminate data on judicial performance evaluations, including judicial performance surveys developed, collected, and distributed, pursuant to paragraph 5 of subsection B of this section;
- 3. Conduct public education efforts concerning the judicial performance evaluation process and the recommendations made by the office and the Council on Judicial Complaints;
- 4. Measure public awareness of the judicial performance evaluation process through regular polling; and
- 5. Perform other tasks as the Council on Judicial Complaints shall direct.
 - B. The Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall have the following powers and duties to:
 - 1. Review any available case management data and statistics related to individual Justices and judges;
 - 2. Review written judicial opinions and orders authorized by Justices and judges;
- 3. Interview Justices and judges under the Council on Judicial Complaints' oversight;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

- 4. Accept information and documentation from interested persons as necessary;
- 5. Develop surveys to evaluate the performance of Justices and judges which shall be completed by attorneys, jurors, represented and unrepresented litigants, law enforcement personnel, attorneys within the district attorneys' and public defenders' offices, employees of the court, court interpreters, employees of probation offices, and employees of local departments of social services;
- 6. Determine the validity of completed surveys developed pursuant paragraph 5 of this subsection, report to the Council on the validity of the surveys, and prepare alternatives to surveys where sample populations are inadequate to produce valid results;
- 7. Prepare narratives for the Council on Judicial Complaints that reflect the performance of Justices and judges;
- 8. Submit any information concerning or appearing to concern a complaint to the Administrative Director of the Council on Judicial Complaints;
- 9. Submit performance evaluations of Justices and judges to the Council on Judicial Complaints for approval or rejection; and
- 10. Recommend, at the staff's discretion after it completes an evaluation of a Justice or judge pursuant to Section 5 of this act, to the Council on Judicial Complaints that it develop an individual judicial improvement plan pursuant to Section 7 of this act.

1.3

1	SECTION 4. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified					
2	in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1674 of Title 20, unless there					
3	is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:					
4	The Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall evaluate					
5	each Justice and judge in Oklahoma utilizing the powers and duties					
6	conferred in Section 3 of this act. The evaluations must only					
7	include the following performance evaluation criteria:					
8	1. Integrity including, but not limited to, whether the Justice					
9	or judge:					
10	a. avoids impropriety or the appearance of impropriety,					
11	b. displays fairness and impartiality toward all					
12	participants, and					
13	c. avoids ex parte communications;					
14	2. Legal knowledge including, but not limited to, whether the					
15	Justice or judge:					
16	a. demonstrates, through well-reasoned opinions and					
17	courtroom conduct, an understanding of substantive law					
18	and relevant rules of procedure and evidence,					
19	b. demonstrates, through well-reasoned opinions and					
20	courtroom conduct, attentiveness to factual and legal					
21	issues before the court, and					
22	c. adheres to precedent or clearly explains the legal					
23	basis for departure from precedent and appropriately					

applies statutes or other sources of legal authority;

1	3. Communication skills including, but not limited to, whether
2	the Justice or judge:
3	a. presents clearly written and understandable opinions,
4	findings of fact, conclusions of law, and orders,
5	b. presents clearly stated and understandable questions
6	or statements during oral arguments or presentations,
7	and, for trial judges, clearly explains all oral
8	decisions, and
9	c. clearly presents information to the jury, as
10	necessary;
11	4. Judicial temperament including, but not limited to, whether
12	the Justice or judge:
13	a. demonstrates courtesy toward attorneys, litigants,
14	court staff, and others in the courtroom, and
15	b. maintains and requires order, punctuality, and
16	appropriate decorum in the courtroom;
17	5. Administrative performance including, but not limited to,
18	whether the Justice or judge:
19	a. demonstrates preparation for oral arguments, trials,
20	and hearings, as well as attentiveness to and
21	appropriate control over judicial proceedings,
22	b. manages workload and court time effectively and
23	efficiently,

1.3

- c. issues opinions, findings of fact, conclusions of law, and orders in a timely manner and without unnecessary delay,
- d. participates in a proportionate share of the court's workload, takes responsibility for more than his or her own caseload, and is willing to assist other Justices or judges, and
- e. understands and complies, as necessary, with directives of the Oklahoma Supreme Court; and
- 6. Service to the legal profession and the public by participating in service-oriented efforts designed to educate the public about the legal system and improve the legal system.
- SECTION 5. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1675 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- A. Within the first two (2) years of a Justice's or judge's appointment to the bench, the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall conduct an initial evaluation of each Justice and judge. The Office shall complete and communicate the initial evaluations, including any recommendations for improvement plans, to the Council on Judicial Complaints for approval or rejection. Once approved, the Office shall communicate the initial evaluation to the Justice or judge.

- B. Within two (2) years of the approval of the initial evaluation of a Justice or judge by the Council, the Office shall conduct an interim evaluation of each Justice and judge. The Office shall complete and communicate the interim evaluations, including any recommendations for improvement plans, to the Council on Judicial Complaints for approval or rejection. Once approved, the Office shall communicate the interim evaluation to the Justice or judge
- C. The Council shall grant each Justice or judge who receives an initial and interim evaluation the opportunity to meet with the Council or otherwise respond to the initial or interim evaluation no later than ten (10) days following the Justice's or judge's receipt of the initial or interim evaluation. If a meeting is held or a response is made, the Council may revise the initial or interim evaluation as it sees fit.
- D. Once the initial or interim performance evaluation is finalized, the Office shall share the performance evaluation as follows:
- 1. For special judges, with the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, the Presiding Administrative Judge of the judicial district in which the special judge serves and any judge by administrative orders in the role of a direct supervisor of the special judge of the judicial district in which the special judge serves, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts; and

1.3

- 2. For district and associate judges, with the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts.
- SECTION 6. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1676 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- A. The Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall conduct an election-year evaluation for each Justice or judge whose term is to expire and who must stand for reelection or retention election.
- B. 1. The Office shall complete an election-year evaluation and related narrative to be approved by the Council. Once approved, the election-year evaluation shall be communicated to the Justice or judge no later than forty-five (45) days prior to the last day available for the Justice or judge to declare his or her intent to stand for reelection or retention.
- 2. The narrative prepared for an election-year evaluation must include an assessment of the Justice's or judge's strengths and weaknesses with respect to the judicial performance criteria contained in Section 4 of this act, a discussion regarding any deficiency identified in an initial or interim evaluation prepared pursuant to Section 5 of this act, a review of any improvement plan developed pursuant to Section 7 of this act, and a statement of whether the Council concludes that any deficiency identified has been satisfactorily addressed, or a statement from the Council that

- an improvement plan, if any, was satisfactorily followed by the Justice or judge.
- 3. The Council shall grant each Justice or judge who receives an election-year evaluation the opportunity to meet with the Council or otherwise respond to the evaluation no later than ten (10) days following his or her receipt of the evaluation. If the meeting is held or a response is made, the Council may revise the evaluation as it sees fit.
- met, the Council shall make a recommendation regarding the performance of each Justice or judge who declares his or her intent to stand for reelection or retention. The recommendations must be stated as "meets performance standard" or "does not meet performance standard". For a Justice or judge to receive a designation of "does not meet performance standard", there must be a majority vote by the Council members that the particular Justice or judge should receive such a recommendation.
- D. Once the election-year evaluation is finalized, the Office shall share the performance evaluation as follows:
- 1. For special judges, with the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, the Presiding Administrative Judge of the judicial district in which the special judge serves and any judge by administrative orders in the role of a direct supervisor of the special judge of

- 1 | the judicial district in which the special judge serves, and the 2 | Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts; and
- 2. For district and associate judges, with the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts.
- SECTION 7. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1677 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
 - A. 1. If the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation recommends, pursuant to Section 5 of this act, that a Justice or judge receive an improvement plan, the Council on Judicial Complaints shall determine whether an individual judicial improvement plan is appropriate. If the Council determines an improvement plan is appropriate, the Office shall then develop an improvement plan for such Justice or judge. After the Council reviews and approves the improvement plan, the Office shall have the responsibility for implementing and overseeing the improvement plan.
 - 2. Once the Justice or judge has completed the improvement plan, the Office shall convey the results of the improvement plan to the Council. The Office shall maintain a copy of the improvement plan and the results in its files.
 - B. If a Justice or judge is required to complete an improvement plan pursuant to this section, and he or she fails to satisfactorily complete the requirements of such improvement plan, the Council

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- shall automatically issue a "does not meet performance standard" designation on his or her performance evaluation.
 - C. Upon the completion of an improvement plan, the Office shall share the results of the improvement plan as follows:
 - 1. For special judges, with the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, the Presiding Administrative Judge of the judicial district in which the special judge serves and any judge by administrative orders in the role of a direct supervisor of the special judge of the judicial district in which the special judge serves, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts; and
 - 2. For district and associate judges, with the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts.
 - SECTION 8. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1678 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- A. A member of the Council on Judicial Complaints or an employee of the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall disclose any professional or personal relationship with a Justice or judge that may affect an unbiased evaluation of the Justice or judge, including involvement with any litigation involving the Justice or judge and the member or employee, the member's or employee's family, or the member's or employee's financial interests. The Council may require, by a vote, the recusal of one

1.3

of its members or the Office's employee because of a relationship with a Justice or judge.

- SECTION 9. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1679 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- A. 1. Except as provided in subsection C of this section or specifically provided by law, all self-evaluations, personal information, oral or written information, content of any improvement plans, and any matter discussed by the Council on Judicial Complaints concerning a performance evaluation is confidential.
- 2. All surveys must allow for the participant's name to remain confidential. Comments in surveys are confidential but may be summarized in aggregate for use in performance evaluation narratives.
- 3. Members of the Council on Judicial Complaints and employees of the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall not publicly discuss the performance evaluation of a particular Justice or judge.
- B. Except as provided in subsection C of this section, all recommendations and narratives are confidential.
- C. Information required to be kept confidential pursuant to this section may be released only with the consent of the Justice or judge being evaluated.

1.3

1	SECTION 10.	NEW LAW	A new section of law to be codified
2	in the Oklahoma	Statutes as	Section 1664 of Title 20, unless there
3	is created a dur	olication in	numbering, reads as follows:

- A. The Council on Judicial Complaints shall promptly approve or reject judicial performance evaluations submitted by the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation.
- B. The Council on Judicial Complaints shall have the powers and duties to:
 - 1. Promulgate rules concerning:
 - a. the performance evaluation of Justices and judges by
 the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation based on
 performance evaluation criteria set forth in Section 4
 of this act, and
 - b. the creation of a standards matrix or scorecard related to the performance evaluation criteria set forth in Section 4 of this act;
- 2. Review data, prepared narratives, and recommendations made by the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation;
- 3. Approve or reject the performance evaluations of Justices and judges submitted by the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation;
- 4. Vote as to whether the Justice or judge meets the performance standard based upon the member's review of all the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

1	information available to the Council and the Office's performance			
2	evaluation; and			
3	5. Determine whether information submitted during the			
4	performance evaluation process shall be deemed a complaint.			
5	SECTION 11. This act shall become effective November 1, 2022.			
6				
7	COMMITTEE REPORT BY: COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY - CIVIL, dated 03/01/2022 - DO PASS, As Amended.			
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

HB4078 HFLR BOLD FACE denotes Committee Amendments.